

BAUTE & TIDUS LLP  
 Mark D. Baute (Cal. Bar No. 127329)  
 mbaute@bautelaw.com  
 Jeffrey A. Tidus (Cal. Bar No. 089585)  
 jtibus@bautelaw.com  
 Henry H. Gonzalez (Cal. Bar. No. 208419)  
 hgongalez@bautelaw.com  
 777 South Figueroa Street, Suite 4900  
 Los Angeles, California 90017  
 Telephone: (213) 630-5000  
 Facsimile: (213) 683-1225

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 SYMANTEC CORPORATION

LYNBERG & WATKINS  
 Philip H. Lo (State Bar No. 178538)  
 plo@lynberg.com  
 888 South Figueroa Street, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor  
 Los Angeles, CA 90017  
 Telephone: (213) 624-8700  
 Facsimile: (213) 892-2763

Attorneys for Defendants  
 LOGICAL PLUS, INC., JOSEPH CHANG  
 YKE INTERNATIONAL, INC., SHUTTLE  
 PRODUCTS, INC. and YEN NELSON YU

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SYMANTEC CORPORATION,  
 Plaintiff,

vs.

LOGICAL PLUS, INC., *et al.*,  
 Defendants.

Case No. C06- 07963 SI  
 [Complaint Filed December 29, 2006]

**STIPULATION AND  
 [PROPOSED] ORDER  
 ALLOWING SETTLEMENT  
 CONFERENCE TO BE HELD  
 ON NOVEMBER 5, 2007**

Judge: Hon. Susan Illston

**Current Conference**

Date: October 16, 2007  
 Time: 2:00 p.m.  
 Court: Courtroom 4, 3rd Floor

**Proposed Conference**

Date: November 5, 2007  
 Time: 2:00 p.m.  
 Court: Courtroom 4, 3rd Floor

1           **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED** by and between the plaintiff, **SYMANTEC**  
2 **CORPORATION** (hereinafter, "Symantec"), and defendants **LOGICAL PLUS,**  
3 **INC., JOSEPH CHANG, YKE INTERNATIONAL INC., SHUTTLE**  
4 **PRODUCTS, INC.,** and **YEN NELSON YU** (hereinafter, collectively "Defendants")  
5 as follows:

6           1.     The settlement conference was originally set for September 13, 2007,  
7 and the case management conference was originally set for October 12, 2007. By  
8 stipulation of the parties and pursuant to orders by Judge Illston and Judge Brazil  
9 entered September 12, 2007, the settlement conference was continued to October 16,  
10 2007 and the case management conference was continued to November 9, 2007.

11           2.     Judge Illston also ordered that a settlement conference be conducted  
12 before the Honorable Wayne D. Brazil during the month of October 2007.

13           3.     Due to subsequent calendar conflicts, the parties' counsel met and  
14 conferred concerning possible rescheduling of the settlement conference. However,  
15 Judge Brazil was not available on any of the October dates on which the parties'  
16 counsel were mutually available.

17           4.     Defendants' counsel has consulted with Judge Brazil's clerk and  
18 determined that November 5 is available. Plaintiff and Plaintiff's counsel are  
19 prepared to go forward on October 16, but do not object to appearing at the settlement  
20 conference on November 5.

21           5.     The parties therefore have jointly requested that Judge Brazil continue  
22 the settlement conference to November 5, 2007. The parties have made this request  
23 with the understanding and belief that continuing the settlement conference will not  
24 adversely affect the case management conference currently set for November 9, 2007.

25           6.     The parties therefore jointly request that the Court revise its prior order  
26 requiring that the settlement conference be held during October to allow the  
27 settlement conference to be held on November 5, 2007.

28 ///

1       **NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED** by  
2 and between Symantec and the Defendants, that:


3       1.     The settlement conference currently set for October 16, 2007 at 2:00  
4 P.M., before Judge Brazil, may be held on November 5, 2007, PROVIDED that Judge  
5 Brazil orders that the settlement conference shall be continued to November 5, 2007.

6       2.     The Case Management Conference shall remain set for November 9,  
7 2007.

8       3.     No other discovery deadlines, pretrial deadlines, or motion deadlines  
9 shall be affected by this stipulation. The trial shall remain set for March 17, 2008.  
10


11     October 15, 2007

12     BAUTE & TIDUS LLP

13       
14     Mark D. Baute  
15     Henry H. Gonzalez  
16     Attorneys for Plaintiff  
17     SYMANTEC CORPORATION

October 15, 2007

LYNBERG & WATKINS

18       
19     Philip H. Lo  
20     Attorneys for Defendants  
21     LOGICAL PLUS, INC.,  
22     JOSEPH CHANG,  
23     YKE INTERNATIONAL, INC.,  
24     SHUTTLE PRODUCTS, INC. and  
25     YEN NELSON YU

19     **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:**

20             10/16/07

21     Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

22       
23     JUDGE SUSAN ILLSTON  
24     UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I am employed in the aforesaid County, State of California; I am over the age and not a party to the within action; my business address is

✓ BAUTE & TIDUS LLP; 777 South Figueroa Street, Suite 4900, Los Angeles CA 90017; Tel: (213) 630-5000

— ACE MESSENGER AND ATTORNEY SERVICE, 811 Wilshire Boulevard, #900, Los Angeles, CA 90017; telephone (213) 623-3979

I served the following listed documents on the interested parties in this action as follows:

SYMANTEC v. LOGICAL PLUS, INC.  
USDC, Northern District, Case No. C06 07963 SI  
[1740.30]

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER ALLOWING SETTLEMENT  
CONFERENCE TO BE HELD ON NOVEMBER 5, 2007**

— By Personal Service I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand to the interested party as listed below.

— By Facsimile to the names and fax numbers listed below.

— By Federal Express ~ Next Business Day Delivery: by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope(s) and addressed to the parties listed below.

✓ By Mail: by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope and addressed to the parties listed below. I placed such envelope(s) for deposit in the U.S. Mail for service by the United States Postal Service, with postage thereon fully prepaid.

I am "readily familiar" with this firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that, on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after the date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

— By E-Mail: I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be e-mailed to the parties listed below, as noted.

Philip H. Lo, Esq.  
LYNBERG & WATKINS  
888 South Figueroa Street, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90017  
Tel: (213) 624-8700  
Fax: (213) 892-2763

*Attorneys for Defendants*  
LOGICAL PLUS, INC.,  
JOSEPH CHANG,  
YKE INTERNATIONAL, INC.,  
SHUTTLE PRODUCTS, INC. and YEN  
NELSON YU

[Rev. September 7, 2007]

☐ (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

☒ (FEDERAL) I hereby certify that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made, and I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

☐ (FEDERAL - ATTORNEY) I hereby certify that I am a member of the Bar of the United States District Court, **Central District** of California, and I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on 10/15, 2007 at Los Angeles, California.

Kirsten De Vere  
print name

[Signature]  
signature